



Published in final edited form as:

Am J Cardiol. 2008 January 15; 101(2): 186–192.

Coronary Artery Calcium, Carotid Artery Wall Thickness and Cardiovascular Disease Outcomes in Adults 70 to 99 Years Old

Anne B. Newman, MD, MPH^{a,b}, Barbara L. Naydeck, MPH^a, Diane G. Ives, MPH^a, Robert M. Boudreau, PhD^a, Kim Sutton-Tyrrell, Dr PH^a, Daniel H. O'Leary, MD^c, and Lewis H. Kuller, MD, DrPH^a

a Department of Epidemiology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA

b Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA

c Tufts-New England Medical Center, Boston, MA

Abstract

Few population studies have evaluated the associations of both coronary artery calcium (CAC) and carotid ultrasound with cardiovascular events, especially in adults > 70 years of age. At the Pittsburgh Field Center of the Cardiovascular Health Study, 559 men and women, mean age 80.2 (SD 4.1) years had CAC score assessed by electron beam computerized tomography scan and common and internal carotid intimal-medial wall thickness (CCA-IMT and ICA-IMT) by carotid ultrasound between 1998–2000 and were followed for total and incident cardiovascular disease events through June 2003. Crude rates and hazard ratios for total and incident events were examined with and without adjustment for cardiovascular risk factors. After 5 years, there were 127 cardiovascular disease events, 48 myocardial infarctions or cardiovascular disease deaths and 28 strokes or stroke deaths. Total and incident cardiovascular disease event rates were higher in each quartile of CAC and CCA-IMT, but not ICA-IMT. For total cardiovascular disease, the adjusted hazard ratio for the 4th vs. 1st quartile of CAC was 2.1 (95% CI = 1.2–3.9) and for CCA-IMT was 2.3 (95% CI = 1.3–4.1). The CCA-IMT was more strongly related to stroke risk than was CAC, though CAC was also an important predictor of stroke. No significant sex differences were found, though relative risks appeared to be stronger in women, especially for stroke. In conclusion, in these adults > 70 years of age, CAC and CCA-IMT had similar hazard ratios for total cardiovascular disease and coronary heart disease. The CCA-IMT was more strongly related to stroke than was CAC, but CAC was also a predictor of stroke.

Keywords

calcium; cardiovascular diseases; coronary disease; prognosis

Introduction

In the Cardiovascular Health Study, coronary artery calcium (CAC) scanning was performed concurrently with carotid ultrasound at one of the 4 field centers. We evaluated total cardiovascular disease event rates, myocardial infarction and stroke by the level of CAC and by internal carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (ICA-IMT) and common carotid artery

Correspondence to: Anne B. Newman, MD, MPH, University of Pittsburgh, 130 N. Bellefield Avenue, Room 532, Pittsburgh, PA 15213. Phone: 412–383–1871. Fax: 412–383–1308. E-mail: newmana@edc.pitt.edu..

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

intimal-medial wall thickness (CCA-IMT) individually and together. We hypothesized that these measures would provide similar prediction of total cardiovascular disease events but that CAC level may be more predictive of myocardial infarction and carotid wall thickness more predictive of stroke.

Methods

The Cardiovascular Health Study is an ongoing investigation of risk factors for cardiovascular disease in older adults in 4 US communities.¹ Both CAC and carotid wall thickness were measured in 559 men and women between the years 1998–2000 in the Cardiovascular Health Study in Pittsburgh. The CAC scans were scheduled separately from the annual visit when the carotid ultrasound was performed beginning 6 months prior to the annual visit and were completed up to 6 months after. All participants gave informed consent for testing procedures and follow-up as approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Pittsburgh.

Electron-beam computerized tomography (Imatron C-150 scanner, San Francisco, CA) was used to assess CAC, using a Base Value Region of Interest computer program which extracts all pixels above 130 Hounsfield units within an operator-defined region of interest in each 3-mm-thick image of the coronary arteries (26 cm² field of view). All pixels greater than 130 Hounsfield units and larger than 1 mm (2 or more contiguous pixels) within the coronary arteries were considered to be calcium. The calcium score was calculated for each region by multiplying the area of significant pixels by a grade number (1,2,3, or 4) indicative of the peak computed tomography number (Hounsfield units). The individual region of interest scores were then summed for a total CAC (Agatston) score.²

Carotid high-resolution B-mode ultrasonography was used to assess the average maximal thickness of the common and internal carotid arteries.³ The maximal intimal–medial thickness of the common carotid artery and of the internal carotid artery was defined as the mean of the maximal intimal–medial thickness of the near and far wall on both the left and right sides. The number of measurements that were available for averaging ranged from 1 to 4 for the common carotid artery and 1 to 12 for the internal carotid artery.⁴

Prevalent clinical cardiovascular disease was defined at enrollment as a history of cardiovascular disease including myocardial infarction, angina, coronary artery bypass, angioplasty or stent placement, heart failure, stroke, transient ischemic attack, endarterectomy, or history of peripheral artery disease defined by self report and confirmed by medication use and with medical records. This was updated by recoding incident events after enrollment and prior to the time of the second of the two scans as prevalent disease.

Over the course of the Cardiovascular Health Study, participants were interviewed by telephone or during an annual in person clinical examination every 6 months to ascertain new cardiovascular events. The related medical records, death certificates and informant interviews were reviewed by a central morbidity and mortality committee to confirm fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular disease events including myocardial infarction, angina (symptomatic coronary artery disease), stroke, transient ischemic attack, or hospitalized peripheral artery disease in the legs.^{5, 6} All events were symptomatic; no procedures without symptoms were classified as study outcomes. Outcomes reviewers were blinded to test results. Furthermore, each event record was re-reviewed to evaluate the potential that any coronary or peripheral arterial procedure was conducted as a consequence of reporting the coronary calcium scores or the carotid ultrasound results to participants. All events were new symptomatic events; there were no procedures consequent to test reporting.

In the first analyses, the first event after the two scans (incident or recurrent disease) was examined for total cardiovascular disease events including fatal or non-fatal myocardial

infarction, or coronary heart disease death, angina, stroke, transient ischemic attack, heart failure or peripheral artery disease. For analysis of incident events only, those with prior history of that event were excluded. Follow-up was completed through June 2003 with a mean duration of 5 years and was virtually complete for mortality and 94% complete for cardiovascular events.

Age and cardiovascular risk factors were assessed at the 1998–1999 clinical examination when the carotid artery scan was performed. Age was updated from baseline reported date of birth. Hypertension was defined as seated average systolic blood pressure > 140 mmHg or diastolic > 90 mmHg or as a history of self-reported hypertension confirmed with use of antihypertensive medication. Diabetes was defined as present if the participant used insulin or oral hypoglycemics or if the fasting glucose level exceeded 126 mg/dL (7.0 mmol/L). Cigarette smoking was analyzed as ever (past and current use) versus never, as there were very few current smokers (8.0%), and total smoking exposure was also assessed by pack-years.⁷ Total cholesterol and glucose were assayed from blood samples that were collected at the time of the ultrasound examination and analyzed according to lab methods previously described, except for low-density lipoprotein cholesterol which was assessed in 1992–93.⁸

Continuous data were summarized as means and standard deviations; categorical variables as counts or percentages. Person-years of follow-up were calculated and used to compare unadjusted event rates of total cardiovascular disease events, myocardial infarction or stroke across coronary artery or carotid artery intimal-medial thickness quartiles. Cox proportional hazard models were used to examine relative risks for each quartile of CAC score or the carotid artery wall thickness. Death due to non-cardiovascular disease causes was considered a censored non-event. Initial adjusted models considered age, sex, race, and prevalent cardiovascular disease, with subsequent adjustment for other cardiovascular risk factors. Models were examined for incident events, excluding those with cardiovascular disease, myocardial infarction or stroke (respectively) from baseline or prior to the scan date. Interactions between each measure of disease and sex were tested and were not significant. Hazard ratios were expressed as point estimates with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals. All models tested met the assumption of proportional hazards. The predictive accuracy of CAC, CCA-IMT and ICA-IMT survival models were compared using a global concordance score that is the weighted average of the area under time-specific receiver-operating characteristic curves.^{9,10} The comparisons were between models adjusted for all covariates. The time-varying areas under the curves were nearly constant throughout the 5 years of follow-up, so global concordance was used as the summary measure.

Results

The study included 559 men and women described in Table 1. Those in the lower quartiles of CAC were more likely to be women, blacks or to have no history of prior cardiovascular disease. Ages ranged from 70–99. Other cardiovascular risk factors did not vary substantially across CAC quartiles except for smoking history.

Coronary artery calcium scores ranged from 0–5459 with a median of 333, and 8.9% had scores of zero. The average CCA-IMT was 1.1 mm and the ICA-IMT was 1.7 mm. Common and internal carotid artery intimal-medial wall thicknesses were significantly greater in each higher CAC quartile. Spearman correlations were 0.19 between the CCA-IMT and CAC score and 0.33 between the ICA-IMT and the CAC score.

After 5 years there were 127 first total cardiovascular disease events after the scans, 48 myocardial infarctions or coronary heart disease deaths and 28 fatal or non-fatal strokes. In order to compare similar relative ranges of the different measures, the levels of CAC and carotid wall thickness were examined by quartiles (Table 2). Total cardiovascular disease event rates

were higher across the quartiles for each measure of disease although the gradient of risk was less pronounced for the ICA-IMT, largely related to the higher absolute event rate in the lowest quartile. Cardiovascular disease event rates were over two fold higher in the top quartile of the CAC and common carotid artery. After adjustment for age, gender and prevalent cardiovascular disease, these relationships remained statistically significant in the 3rd and 4th quartiles for both CAC and the 4th quartile of CCA-IMT, but not for the ICA-IMT. Results were quite similar when only incident cardiovascular disease events were examined.

When considering myocardial infarction or coronary heart disease death as the outcome (Table 3), relative risks were similar for CAC as for the CCA-IMT. Results were again quite similar when considering only incident myocardial infarction or coronary heart disease death, but not statistically significant. Risks were not as striking for the internal carotid artery.

The pattern of association of CAC with stroke suggested similar levels of elevated risk for stroke as for myocardial infarction (Table 4). When considering only incident stroke events, risks related to the CAC score were somewhat higher and statistically significant. The CCA-IMT appeared to be more strongly related to stroke than was the coronary calcium level, with an adjusted hazard ratio of 8.5 (95% CI = 1.9 – 38.5) for the highest quartile of CCA-IMT. When considering only incident stroke, risk estimates were similar and remained statistically significant for the 4th quartile of CCA-IMT. Findings for the ICA-IMT not statistically significant.

In order to compare the relative contribution of each of these measures to cardiovascular disease risk, we then considered models for each outcome that included all three measures at once along with other cardiovascular risk factors (Table 5). In these models, the hazard ratios remained quite similar to hazard ratios seen when examining each measure separately and there was no substantial attenuation of the CAC by the CCA-IMT or vice versa. When considering total cardiovascular disease events as the outcome, both the CAC score and the CCA-IMT were each independently predictive of cardiovascular disease events to a similar degree. The CAC level and CCA-IMT were independently and similarly predictive of myocardial infarction, though confidence intervals overlapped 1.0. When considering stroke as the outcome, there was an apparently stronger association with the CCA-IMT (Table 5), however, confidence intervals were wide and overlapping. The ICA-IMT was not related to any of these outcomes in the multivariate models. When only incident events were considered the risks of total cardiovascular disease and myocardial infarction were somewhat higher for CAC than for CCA-IMT, but because of the smaller sample size and wider confidence intervals, many of the estimates were not statistically significant (data not shown).

All analyses were further stratified by sex. Results suggested that the CAC and CCA-IMT appeared to be more strongly predictive of cardiovascular disease events, myocardial infarction and stroke in women than in men, though tests for interaction were not significant. However, the number of events in men was too small to meaningfully interpret interactions. Of note, women with low levels of either CAC or CCA-IMT had markedly low rates of myocardial infarction and stroke (Figure 1) and absolute event rates for total cardiovascular disease and stroke were in many cases higher in women than men in the same quartile regardless of disease measure. We further examined the data using a CAC score of zero as a referent group and did not find a difference in rates between CAC of 0 vs. 1–53.

Analysis of time-varying receiver-operator curves for total cardiovascular disease showed that the weighted average areas under the curve, or concordance (wAUC), over 5 years adjusted for all covariates were similar for each measure: CAC wAUC= 0.67, CCA wAUC=0.68, ICA wAUC=0.67. When considering incident cardiovascular disease, wAUC's were slightly higher for CAC, with adjusted wAUC=0.68, CCA-IMT wAUC=0.65 and ICA-IMT wAUC=0.66.

Discussion

In this group of older, community-dwelling men and women with a mean age of 80 years, the CCA-IMT and the CAC score had a similar ability to predict total cardiovascular disease events, myocardial infarction and stroke. Rates of cardiovascular disease events were quite high, starting at over 3% per year in the lowest quartile of CAC and CCA-IMT. The poorer discrimination of the ICA-IMT was due in part to the higher event rate in the lowest internal carotid artery quartile. There was a tendency for the CCA-IMT to be more specific for stroke, and a very slight tendency for CAC to be more predictive of myocardial infarction. Additional follow-up with a larger number of events is needed to determine if there is a substantial advantage for these measures for predicting event type.

There has been little published work comparing these measures as predictors of outcome. However, reports of CAC shows risks of 4 to 20 fold, depending on the referent group and population.^{11,12,13,14,15,16} This is far in excess of risks previously reported for carotid wall thickness which range from 2 to 5 fold, again depending on the population and referent category.^{3,17} This suggests that in those populations reported, the CAC will have better discrimination when compared within a population,^{11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16} as is planned in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) study.¹⁸ This does not appear to be the case in this older Cardiovascular Health Study cohort, thus it is possible that the high risks being reported for CAC will prove to be age dependent. Our finding of a similar risk prediction for CAC vs. CCA-IMT for total cardiovascular disease events is important as stroke becomes an increasingly common aspect of total cardiovascular disease risk in the elderly. Risk assessment methods that consider total cardiovascular disease are highly relevant for preserving quality of life and prevention of disability thus, carotid artery screening may have particularly better utility in advanced old age.

The relative risks shown here are somewhat lower than studies have reported in for middle aged and younger old adults.^{11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16} This may be due in part to the referent group for our population having substantial disease, with levels of CAC and carotid wall thickness that far exceed those seen at younger ages. Our lowest quartile included fewer than 10% with calcium scores of zero. The predictive power of traditional risk factors is well known to decline with increasing age, partly due to selective survival and partly due to the effects of failing health on risk factor levels. This may also hold true for these measures of subclinical atherosclerosis. Both CAC and carotid wall thickness have been shown to predict cardiovascular disease events in older adults, but few have studied individuals well over age 80 as described here. The absolute event rate for this age group far exceeds the 20% event rate in 10 years that is considered to be “high risk.” Since overall risk is so high, these tests may have greater utility in identifying elderly people with exceptionally low risk.

The CCA-IMT and the CAC levels did not substantially attenuate each other. This finding might have been expected given their modest correlations. This illustrates that there is heterogeneity of disease severity across vascular beds¹⁹ and suggests that these measures should be considered complementary in describing the overall burden of vascular disease in older adults. The lack of significant hazard ratios for ICA-IMT appeared to be due to a rather high risk of events in the referent category, suggesting that a low ICA-IMT is less specific for low risk.

Strengths of this study include the long term detailed characterization of clinical and subclinical cardiovascular disease through very advanced old age. The advanced age of the cohort is also a limitation as few men remain with likely substantial survival bias for risk prediction. We had limited ability to explore gender differences in risk prediction with these measures due to the small sample size of men which limited power. However, in the women, relative risks tended

to be higher and CAC was found to be a strong predictor of stroke risk in women. Consistent with autopsy studies,²⁰ we have previously reported that the CAC and carotid wall thickness as more closely correlated in women than in men.²¹ Cross-sectionally, there is a stronger relationship between coronary heart disease and CAC in women than in men.²² Thus, our data support the contention that CAC may better indicate a high overall atherosclerotic burden in women than it does in men, who are more often found to have coronary disease when peripheral disease is limited. There are also potential differences in risk prediction by race²³. We did not have adequate power to determine this with the number of events to date. Further follow-up may reveal important differences in risk prediction by both race and sex.¹⁸


Acknowledgements

Grant Support: This work was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, R01-AG-023629 and contract numbers N01-AG-6-2106, N01-AG-6-2102, N01-AG-6-2103; a full list of participating CHS investigators and institutions can be found at <http://www.chs-nhlbi.org>.

References

1. Fried LP, Borhani NO, Enright P, Furberg CD, Gardin JM, Kronmal RA, Kuller LH, Manolio TA, Mittelmark MB, Newman AB, O'Leary DH, Psaty B, Rautaharju P, Tracy RP, Weiler PG. the Cardiovascular Health Study Research Group. The Cardiovascular Health Study: Design and rationale. *Ann Epidemiol* 1991;1:263–276. [PubMed: 1669507]
2. Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Hildner FJ, Zusmer NR, Viamonte M Jr, Detrano MD. Quantification of coronary artery calcium using ultrafast computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1990;15:827–832. [PubMed: 2407762]
3. O'Leary DH, Polak JF, Kronmal RA, Manolio TA, Burke GL, Wolfson SK Jr. Carotid-artery intima and media thickness as a risk factor for myocardial infarction and stroke in older adults. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:14–22. [PubMed: 9878640]
4. O'Leary DH, Polak JF, Kronmal RA, Kittner SJ, Bond MG, Wolfson SK, Bommer W, Price TR, Gardin JM, Savage PJ. Distribution and correlates of sonographically detected carotid artery disease in the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Stroke* 1992;23:1752–1760. [PubMed: 1448826]
5. Ives DG, Fitzpatrick AL, Bild DE, Psaty BM, Kuller LH, Crowley PM, Cruise RG, Theroux S. Surveillance and ascertainment of cardiovascular events: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Ann Epidemiol* 1995;5:278–285. [PubMed: 8520709]
6. Diehr P, Johnson LL, Patrick DL, Psaty B. Methods for incorporating death into health-related variables in longitudinal studies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2005;58:1115–1124. [PubMed: 16223654]
7. Macy EM, Hayes TE, Tracy RP. Variability in the measurement of C-reactive protein in healthy subjects: implications for reference intervals and epidemiological applications. *Clin Chem* 1997;43:52–58. [PubMed: 8990222]
8. Cushman M, Cornell E, Howard P, Bovill E, Tracy R. Laboratory methods and quality assurance in The Cardiovascular Health Study. *Clin Chem* 1995;41:264–270. [PubMed: 7874780]
9. Heagerty PJ, Zheng Y. Survival Model Predictive Accuracy and ROC Curves. *Biometrics* 2005;61:92–105. [PubMed: 15737082]
10. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB. Overall C as a measure of discrimination in survival analysis: model specific population value and confidence interval estimation. *Stat Med* 2004;23:2109–2123. [PubMed: 15211606]
11. Arad Y, Goodman KJ, Roth M, Newstein D, Guerci AD. Coronary calcification, coronary disease risk factors, C-reactive protein, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease events: The St. Francis Heart Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:158–165. [PubMed: 15992651]
12. Greenland P, LaBree L, Azen SP, Doherty TM, Detrano RC. Coronary artery calcium score combined with Framingham score for risk prediction in asymptomatic individuals. *JAMA* 2004;291:210–215. [PubMed: 14722147]
13. Vliagenthart R, Oudkerk M, Hofman A, Oei HHS, van Dijck W, van Rooij FJA, Witteman JCM. Coronary calcification improves cardiovascular risk prediction in the elderly. *Circulation* 2005;112:572–577. [PubMed: 16009800]

14. LaMonte MJ, FitzGerald SJ, Church TJ, Barlow CE, Radford NB, Levine BD, Pippin JJ, Gibbons LW, Blair SN, Nichaman MZ. Coronary artery calcium score and coronary heart disease events in a large cohort of asymptomatic men and women. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005;162:421–429. [PubMed: 16076829]
15. Taylor AJ, Bindeman J, Feuerstein I, Cao F, Brazaitis M, O'Malley PG. Coronary calcium independently predicts incident premature coronary heart disease over measured cardiovascular risk factors. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:807–814. [PubMed: 16139129]
16. Hopkins PN, Ellison RC, Province MA, Pankow JS, Carr J, Arnett DK, Lewis CE, Heiss G, Hunt SC. Association of coronary artery calcified plaque with clinical coronary heart disease in the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute's Family Heart Study. *Am J Cardiol* 2006;97:1564–1569. [PubMed: 16728214]
17. Chambless LE, Heiss G, Folsom AR, Rosamond W, Szklo M, Sharrett AR, Clegg LX. Association of coronary heart disease incidence with carotid arterial wall thickness and major risk factors: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study, 1987–1993. *Am J Epidemiol* 1997;146:483–494. [PubMed: 9290509]
18. Bild DE, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, Detrano R, Diez Roux AV, Folsom AR, Greenland P, Jacob DR Jr, Kronmal R, Liu K, Nelson JC, O'Leary D, Saad MF, Shea S, Szklo M, Tracy RP. Multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis: objectives and design. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002;156(9):871–881. [PubMed: 12397006]
19. Sutton-Tyrrell K, Kuller LH, Matthews KA, Holubkov R, Patel A, Edmundowicz D, Newman A. Subclinical atherosclerosis in multiple vascular beds: an index of atherosclerotic burden evaluated in postmenopausal women. *Atherosclerosis* 2002;160:407–416. [PubMed: 11849665]
20. Strong JP, Malcolm GP, Tracy RE, Newman WP III, Herderick EE, Cornhill JF. Prevalence and extent of atherosclerosis in adolescents and young adults: implications for prevention from the Pathobiological Determinants of Atherosclerosis in Youth Study. *JAMA* 1999;281:727–735. [PubMed: 10052443]
21. Newman AB, Naydeck BI, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Edmundowicz D, O'Leary D, Kronmal R, Burke GL, Kuller LH. Relationship between coronary artery calcification and other measures of subclinical cardiovascular disease in older adults. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2002;22:1674–1679. [PubMed: 12377748]
22. Terry JG, Carr J, Tang R, Evans GW, Kouba EO, Shi R, Cook DR, Vieira JCL, Espeland MA, Mercuri MF, Crouse JR III. Coronary Artery Calcium Outperforms Carotid Artery Intima-Media Thickness as a Noninvasive Index of Prevalent Coronary Artery Stenosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2005;25:1723–1728. [PubMed: 15947237]
23. Newman AB, Naydeck BL, Whittle J, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Edmundowicz D, Kuller LH. Racial differences in coronary artery calcification in older adults. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2002;22:424–430. [PubMed: 11884285]






Figure 1. Rates of cardiovascular events in men and women subsequent to coronary artery calcium (CAC) scan and common carotid artery intimal-medial thickness (CCA-IMT) ultrasound.

Table 1 Characteristics of study participants by coronary artery calcium (CAC) quartile — The Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS), Pittsburgh Field Center, n=559.

Characteristic	Quartile of Coronary Artery Calcium				p
	1 0–56 (n=140)	2 57–332 (n=143)	3 333–916 (n=143)	4 >917 (n=133)	
Age, mean (SD)	79.0 (3.9)	79.6 (3.9)	80.4 (4.1)	81.9 (4.1)	<0.0001
Men	38 (27.1%)	41 (28.7%)	64 (54.9%)	80 (40.3%)	<0.0001
Women	102 (72.9%)	102 (71.3%)	78 (45.1%)	54 (59.7%)	<0.0001
White	89 (63.6%)	112 (78.3%)	115 (81.0%)	118 (89.1%)	<0.0001
Black	51 (36.4%)	31 (21.7%)	27 (19.0%)	16 (11.9%)	<0.0001
Prevalent CVD	32 (22.9%)	33 (23.1%)	45 (31.7%)	76 (56.7%)	<0.0001
Hypertension	43 (30.9%)	50 (35.0%)	42 (29.6)	46 (65.7)	0.7271
Diabetes Mellitus	21 (15.0%)	20 (14.0%)	13 (9.2%)	20 (14.9%)	0.4167
Smoking Status, n (%)					
Ever Smoked	69 (52.3%)	62 (47.3%)	80 (64.5%)	84 (67.2%)	
Never Smoked	63 (47.7%)	69 (52.7%)	44 (35.5%)	41 (32.8%)	0.0025
Systolic Blood Pressure, mean (SD), (mmHg)	131.5 (19.7)	131.9 (21.1)	135.5 (23.1)	134.9 (20.1)	
Total Cholesterol, mean (SD), (mg/dL)	203.9 (38.8)	200.7 (36.1)	195.7 (35.0)	191.9 (39.9)	0.2693
LDL cholesterol, mean (SD), (mg/dL)	118.7 (32.6)	119.4 (29.5)	121.4 (27.7)	120.1 (34.5)	0.0447
CCA-IMT, mean (SD), (mm)	1.1 (0.2)	1.1 (0.3)	1.1 (0.3)	1.2 (0.4)	0.9066
ICA-IMT, mean (SD), (mm)	1.3 (0.6)	1.6 (0.9)	1.9 (0.9)	2.0 (0.9)	<0.0001
CAC, mean (SD), (Agatston units)	11.8(15.9)	172.8 (78.4)	591.1 (163.8)	1912.9 (970.5)	<0.0001

Tests of significance for categorical variables were chi square tests and for continuous variables were ANOVA

Abbreviations: Cardiovascular disease (CVD), coronary artery calcium (CAC), common carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (CCA-IMT), internal carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (ICA-IMT), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), standard deviation (SD)

Table 2

Total and incident cardiovascular disease events, rates and hazard ratios for coronary artery calcium (CAC), common carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (CCA-IMT), and internal carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (ICA-IMT)

Quartiles	Total Cardiovascular Disease Events N = 559				Incident Cardiovascular Disease Events N = 373				Adjusted HR [§] N = 373
	# at Risk	# of Events	Rate per 100 p-y	HR* (95% CI)	Adjusted HR [†] (95% CI) N = 559	# at Risk	# of Events	Rate per 100 p-y	
CAC score				referent	referent				referent
0-56	140	18	3.5	1.62 (0.90-2.91)	1.56 (0.86-2.80)	108	8	1.9	2.72 (1.20-6.20)
57-332	143	30	5.9	2.11 (1.19-3.75)	2.04 (1.14-3.64)	110	22	5.4	3.19 (1.39-7.36)
333-917	143	37	8.0	2.23 (1.23-4.06)	2.12 (1.16-3.85)	98	21	6.3	3.15 (1.24-8.00)
> 917	133	42	10.6			57	13	6.9	
CCA-IMT (mm)				referent	referent				referent
< .95	141	18	3.6	1.42 (0.79-2.57)	1.29 (0.71-2.35)	109	12	3.0	1.23 (0.57-2.68)
.95-1.06	140	29	5.9	1.71 (0.96-3.04)	1.54 (0.86-2.75)	93	14	4.0	1.60 (0.77-3.32)
1.07-1.22	140	33	6.8	2.61 (1.49-4.58)	2.30 (1.30-4.08)	97	18	5.1	2.53 (1.22-5.25)
1.23-3.14	138	47	11.7			74	20	8.2	
ICA-IMT (mm)				referent	referent				referent
< 1.01	139	27	5.4	0.92 (0.54-1.59)	0.84 (0.49-1.46)	101	15	3.9	0.84 (0.39-1.78)
1.02-1.44	141	27	5.6	0.90 (0.52-1.53)	0.75 (0.43-1.30)	97	13	3.8	0.93 (0.44-1.96)
1.45-2.12	140	27	5.5	1.64 (1.00-2.68)	1.39 (0.84-2.30)	94	14	4.1	1.93 (0.98-3.80)
> 2.13	139	46	11.2			81	22	8.4	

Abbreviations: Coronary artery calcium (CAC), common carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (CCA-IMT), internal carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (ICA-IMT), hazard ratio (HR), confidence interval (CI), person-years (p-y)

* Adjusted for age, gender, race, and prevalent CVD

† Adjusted for age, gender, race, prevalent CVD, hypertension, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol

‡ Adjusted for age, gender, race

§ Adjusted for age, gender, race, hypertension, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol

Table 3

Total and incident myocardial infarction or coronary heart disease rates and hazard ratios for coronary artery calcium (CAC), common carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (CCA-IMT), and internal carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (ICA-IMT)

Quartiles	Total Myocardial Infarction or Coronary Heart Disease Death			Incident Myocardial Infarction or Coronary Heart Disease Death					
	# at Risk	# of Events	Rate per 100 p-y	Adjusted HR [†] (95% CI) N = 559	# at Risk	# of Events	Rate per 100 p-y	Adjusted HR [‡] (95% CI) N = 486	Adjusted HR [§] (95% CI) N = 486
CAC score									
0-56	140	6	1.1	referent	108	5	1.0	referent	referent
57-332	143	10	1.8	1.56 (0.56-4.31)	110	9	1.8	1.62 (0.54-4.89)	1.62 (0.54-4.91)
333-917	143	13	2.6	2.02 (0.75-5.42)	98	11	2.4	2.19 (0.75-6.44)	2.08 (0.71-6.12)
> 917	133	19	4.4	2.94 (1.09-7.90)	57	12	3.8	3.19 (1.05-9.72)	3.05 (1.00-9.29)
CCA (mm)									
<.95	141	7	1.3	referent	109	7	1.5	referent	referent
.95-1.06	140	10	1.9	1.34 (0.51-3.53)	93	7	1.5	0.97 (0.33-2.77)	0.78 (0.27-2.29)
1.07-1.22	140	11	2.1	1.48 (0.57-3.81)	97	9	2.0	1.31 (0.49-3.52)	1.05 (0.38-2.90)
1.23-3.14	138	20	4.4	2.99 (1.23-7.26)	74	14	3.7	2.52 (0.99-6.43)	1.94 (0.73-5.14)
ICA (mm)									
<1.01	139	10	1.9	referent	101	8	1.6	referent	referent
1.02-1.44	141	9	1.8	0.85 (0.35-2.11)	97	9	2.2	1.14 (0.44-2.99)	0.99 (0.37-2.64)
1.45-2.12	140	11	2.1	1.05 (0.44-2.49)	94	8	1.8	1.01 (0.37-2.72)	0.80 (0.29-2.19)
> 2.13	139	18	3.9	1.83 (0.82-4.07)	81	12	3.1	1.80 (0.72-4.52)	1.41 (0.55-3.63)

Abbreviations: Coronary artery calcium (CAC), common carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (CCA-IMT), internal carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (ICA-IMT), hazard ratio (HR), confidence interval (CI), person-years (p-y)

* Adjusted for age, gender, race, and prevalent CVD

[†] Adjusted for age, gender, race, prevalent CVD, hypertension, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol

[‡] Adjusted for age, gender, race

[§] Adjusted for age, gender, race, hypertension, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol

Table 4

Total and incident stroke rates and hazard ratios for coronary artery calcium (CAC), common carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (CCA-IMT), and internal carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (ICA-IMT)

Quartiles	# at Risk	# of Events	Total Stroke		Adjusted HR [†] (95% CI) N = 559	# at Risk	# of Events	Incident Stroke		Adjusted HR [‡] (95% CI) N = 538
			Rate per 100 p-y	HR* (95% CI)				Rate per 100 p-y	HR [‡] (95% CI)	
CAC score										
0-56	140	3	0.6	referent	referent	108	3	0.6	referent	referent
57-332	143	7	1.3	2.29 (0.59-8.94)	2.51 (0.63-9.91)	110	6	1.1	1.84 (0.46-7.44)	1.93 (0.47-7.85)
333-917	143	11	2.2	4.14 (1.13-15.23)	4.09 (1.09-15.31)	98	9	1.8	3.52 (0.93-13.26)	3.35 (0.88-12.74)
> 917	133	7	1.6	3.51 (0.85-14.56)	3.29 (0.79-13.80)	57	6	1.4	2.85 (0.67-12.07)	2.59 (0.60-11.10)
CCA (mm)										
<.95	141	2	0.4	referent	referent	109	2	0.4	referent	referent
.95-1.06	140	4	0.8	1.95 (0.36-10.68)	1.64 (0.29-9.14)	93	3	0.6	1.48 (0.25-8.86)	1.26 (0.21-7.69)
1.07-1.22	140	7	1.4	3.48 (0.72-16.76)	3.64 (0.75-17.75)	97	6	1.2	3.07 (0.62-15.24)	2.85 (0.57-14.38)
1.23-3.14	138	15	3.3	8.80 (1.98-39.10)	8.54 (1.90-38.48)	74	13	3.0	8.70 (1.93-39.23)	7.34 (1.57-34.27)
ICA (mm) %										
<1.01	139	5	0.9	referent	referent	101	5	1.0	referent	referent
1.02-1.44	141	8	1.6	1.60 (0.52-4.93)	1.71 (0.54-5.45)	97	5	1.0	0.97 (0.28-3.39)	1.06 (0.30-3.83)
1.45-2.12	140	3	0.6	0.58 (0.14-2.43)	0.57 (0.13-2.46)	94	3	0.6	0.52 (0.12-2.18)	0.45 (0.10-1.96)
> 2.13	139	12	2.6	2.34 (0.81-6.80)	2.48 (0.84-7.29)	81	11	2.5	2.24 (0.76-6.63)	2.07 (0.68-6.30)

Abbreviations: Coronary artery calcium (CAC), common carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (CCA-IMT), internal carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (ICA-IMT), hazard ratio (HR), confidence interval (CI), person-years (p-y)

* Adjusted for age, gender, race, and prevalent CVD

[†] Adjusted for age, gender, race, prevalent CVD, hypertension, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol

[‡] Adjusted for age, gender, race

[§] Adjusted for age, gender, race, hypertension, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol

Table 5

Cardiovascular disease event rates and hazard ratios — Models including coronary artery calcium (CAC), common carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (CCA-IMT) and internal carotid artery intimal-medial wall thickness (ICA-IMT) together with cardiovascular risk factors.

Variables	Total Cardiovascular Disease Events Adjusted HR* N = 559	Total Myocardial Infarction Adjusted HR* N = 559	Total Stroke Adjusted HR* N = 559
Age (year)	1.03 (0.99–1.08)	1.00 (0.92–1.07)	1.04 (0.94–1.16)
Gender (Men)	0.73 (0.49–1.09)	1.10 (0.58–2.07)	0.24 (0.10–0.61)
Race (Black)	0.86 (0.54–1.37)	0.54 (0.23–1.28)	1.03 (0.37–2.84)
CAC score			
0–56	referent	referent	referent
57–332	1.54 (0.85–2.79)	1.46 (0.52–4.11)	2.53 (0.60–10.67)
333–917	2.10 (1.16–3.81)	1.91 (0.69–5.31)	4.61 (1.14–18.70)
> 917	2.37 (1.27–4.45)	2.66 (0.94–7.49)	3.73 (0.81–17.11)
CCA (mm)			
<.95	referent	referent	referent
.95–1.06	1.36 (0.74–2.50)	1.13 (0.41–3.09)	1.80 (0.32–10.19)
1.07–1.22	1.61 (0.88–2.95)	1.30 (0.48–3.51)	4.22 (0.84–21.20)
1.23–3.14	2.35 (1.28–4.32)	2.31 (0.86–6.22)	11.25 (2.28–55.61)
ICA (mm)			
<1.01	referent	referent	referent
1.02–1.44	0.76 (0.44–1.32)	0.78 (0.30–1.99)	1.34 (0.40–4.47)
1.45–2.12	0.50 (0.28–0.91)	0.59 (0.23–1.50)	0.20 (0.04–0.95)
> 2.13	0.90 (0.52–1.56)	0.97 (0.39–2.39)	0.75 (0.22–2.52)
Prevalent CVD	1.80 (1.24–2.62)	-	-
Prevalent MI	-	1.68 (0.81–3.47)	-
Prevalent Stroke	-	-	4.67 (1.51–14.43)
Hypertension	1.44 (0.99–2.11)	1.55 (0.84–2.86)	0.64 (0.27–1.53)
Diabetes	1.66 (1.03–2.69)	2.01 (0.95–4.28)	1.78 (0.56–5.67)
Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	1.01 (0.99–1.02)	1.01 (0.99–1.03)
Smoking Status (Ever)	1.39 (0.95–2.00)	1.37 (0.74–2.54)	1.27 (0.56–2.85)
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	1.00 (0.99–1.01)
LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	0.99 (0.98–1.00)